

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,180	09/19/2005	Isabel Plata Andres	P16940-US1	5698
27045 ERICSSON II	27045 7590 03/11/2008 ERICSSON INC.		EXAMINER	
6300 LEGACY DRIVE			PATEL, ASHOKKUMAR B	
M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/517,180 PLATA ANDRES ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ASHOK B. PATEL 2154 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 December 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/07/04.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/517,180 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8 are subject to examination.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being Unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter AAPA) in view of Rosenberg et al. (hereinafter Rosenberg) (US 2005/0207361 A1)

## Referring to claim 1.

AAPA teaches a method for routing a service request in a telecommunication system towards a destination user (page 6, para, [0012]), the method comprising the steps of:

- a) storing a collection of identifiers related to a first identifier assigned to said user (page 6, para. [0011]);
- b) receiving a service request that comprises said first identifier (page 6, para. [0011];
- c) obtaining a plurality of identifiers among said collection of identifiers (page 6, para. foot11:
- d) selecting a second identifier among said plurality of identifiers (page 6, para, [0012]);
   and

Application/Control Number: 10/517,180

Art Unit: 2154

 e) routing said service request according to said selected second identifier (page 6, para, [0012]);

AAPA fails to teach the method characterized in that:

at least one identifier among said plurality of identifiers stored in step a) has a format that comprises a user-name portion and a domain-name portion, wherein the user-name portion contains said first identifier; and

in step d) said second identifier is selected having a format comprising a username portion and a domain-name portion, wherein said user-name portion contains said first identifier

Rosenberg teaches the method characterized in that:

at least one identifier among said plurality of identifiers stored in step a) has a format that comprises a user-name portion and a domain-name portion, wherein the user-name portion contains said first identifier; and

in step d) said second identifier is selected having a format comprising a username portion and a domain-name portion, wherein said user-name portion contains said first identifier. (para. [0038] As mentioned above, SIP advantageously makes minimal assumptions about the underlying transport protocol being used for Internet telephony, especially with regard to reliability. It can use any datagram or stream protocol. The only restriction on function is that a SIP request or response must be transmitted in full or not at all. SIP can therefore be used with UDP or TCP in the Internet, and with X.25, AAL5/ATM, CLNP, TP4, IPX, or PPP elsewhere. Network addresses within SIP are not restricted to being Internet address, but could also be E. Application/Control Number: 10/517,180

Art Unit: 2154

164 PSTN addresses (i.e., conventional telephone numbers), OSI addresses, or even privately created numbering plans, [0039] In addition, it should be noted that SIP is not tied to the existence of a TCP connection, so that a call can be maintained even if a calling party loses their Internet connection and must reboot, as long as the respective end systems can maintain call identifiers associated with the call. [0040] First, the issue of user addresses and naming will be discussed. [0041] In order to be invited to a call, the called party must have an identification by which it can be addressed. Since the most common form of user addressing on the Internet is the email type address, SIP uses party identifiers in the form of, for example, "user@domain," "user@host," "user@IP\_address," or "phone number@gateway." [0042] The domain name can be either the name of the host that a user is logged into at the time, an email address, or the name of a domain-specific name translation service. As can be expected, an address in the form of "phone number@gateway" designates a PSTN phone number reachable via the named gateway.", Note: "at least one identifier among said plurality of identifiers" is the "second identifier." Here. according Rosenbera. "phone number@gateway" is the "second identifier.")

Thus, the manner of the routing of a service request in a telecommunication system towards a destination user was made part of the ordinary capabilities of one skilled in the art based upon the teaching of Rosengerg. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been capable of applying this known technique in the same manner to the prior art as that of Rosengerg and the results would have been predictable to one of ordinary skill in the art, namely, one skilled in the art would have

Application/Control Number: 10/517,180

Art Unit: 2154

readily recognized that the network addresses within SIP (a service request) are not restricted to being Internet address, but could also be E. 164 PSTN addresses (i.e., conventional telephone numbers), such as "phone\_number@gateway" to reach the destination reliably without failure within a telecommunication system offering a desirable quality of service to its users or subscribers...

### Referring to claim 2,

AAPA teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the step c) further comprises the steps of: c1) sending a query to a database that contains said collection of identifiers related to said first identifier, said query comprising the content of the first identifier; c2) receiving a response to said query that comprises a plurality of identifiers among said collection of identifiers (para. [0011]).

## Referring to claim 3,

AAPA teaches the method of claims 1 or 2, wherein said first identifier is a E.164 number and said second identifier is a Uniform Resource Locator for Session Initiation Protocol. (para. [0004]).

## Referring to claim 5,

Claim 5 is an apparatus that incorporates and implements the method of claim 1.

Therefore, claim 5 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 1.

### Referring to claim 6,

Claim 6 is a system that incorporates and implements the method of claim 1.

Therefore, claim 6 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 1.

#### Referring to claim 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,180
Art Unit: 2154

Claim 7 is a system that incorporates and implements the method of claim 3.

Therefore, claim 7 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 3.

4. Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being Unpatentable over Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter AAPA) in view of Rosenberg et al. (hereinafter Rosenberg) (US 2005/0207361 A1) C as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Dalgic et al. ("True number portability and advanced call screening in a SIP-based IP telephony system.", hereinafter Dalgic).

## Referring to claim 4,

Keeping in mind the teachings of AAPA and Rosenberg as stated in claim 1 above, both of the references fail to teach the method of claim 3, wherein said second identifier contains number portability information.

Dalgic teaches wherein said second identifier contains number portability information (page 98 and 99, Note: Please note that SIP URL includes the phone number and domain name, along with the main SIP server and the gateway functionality).

Thus, the manner of the routing of a service request in a telecommunication system towards a destination user was made part of the ordinary capabilities of one skilled in the art based upon the teaching of Dalgic in addition to Rosengerg. Accordingly, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been capable of applying this known technique in the same manner to the prior art as that of Dalgic and the results would have been predictable to one of ordinary skill in the art, namely, one skilled in the art would have readily recognized that the network addresses within SIP (a service

request) are not restricted to being Internet address, but could also be E. 164 PSTN addresses (i.e., conventional telephone numbers), such as "phone\_number@gateway" with the number portability information to reach the destination reliably without failure within a telecommunication system offering a desirable quality of service to its users or subscribers..

## Referring to claim 8,

Claim 8 is a system that incorporates and implements the method of claim 4.

Therefore, claim 8 is rejected for the reasons set forth for claim 43.

#### Conclusion

Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok B. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-3972. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan A. Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ashok B. Patel/

Ashok B. Patel Examiner, Art Unit 2154